Test Summary

As a result of recent global indoor air quality challenges, including the infiltration of smoke from historically large wildfires in the U.S. (Xu et al., 2020) and the increasing recognition of the potential for aerosol transmission of COVID-19 in poorly ventilated indoor environments (CDC, 2020), there has been an unprecedented level of interest and investment in indoor air cleaning technologies. Here we report on controlled test chamber measurements conducted at the Illinois Institute of Technology to measure the pollutant removal efficacy of a ‘Corsi-Rosenthal’ box fan with a combination of MERV 10 and 11 filters. This is a variation on the single-filter and box fan model in which five filters and a fan are used to form a box, providing a larger surface area of filtration through which air flows.\(^1\) Pollutant removal efficacy measurements included clean air delivery rate (CADR) characterizations for particulate matter ranging from 0.01 to 10 µm in diameter following injection of incense and dust.

Measurement Description

Tests were conducted in a large aluminum environmental chamber on the main campus of Illinois Institute of Technology in Chicago, IL (interior volume of 1296 ft\(^3\)) on July 21, 2021. The chamber is served by a recirculating air handling unit connected via a flexible aluminum duct, capable of recirculating between ~150 and ~200 cfm. Surrounding laboratory air enters unfiltered via infiltration through the chamber, air handler, and ductwork, typically around 1.9-2.0 air changes per hour (ACH) with the surrounding laboratory. A mixing fan was operated in the chamber to achieve reasonably well mixed conditions.

Pollutant Removal Efficacy Testing

Pollutant removal efficacy testing involved measuring the CADR for each air cleaner using a pollutant injection and decay method (Offermann et al., 1985; Maclntosh et al., 2008; US EPA, 2018). The CADR is a measure of how much pollutant-free air an air cleaner provides, reported in units of airflow rate (e.g., cubic feet per minute, or cfm). The CADR is traditionally measured for particulate matter but can also be measured for other types of airborne pollutants (Howard-Reed et al., 2008). Three

Particle size ranges are commonly tested in the widely used ANSI/AHAM AC-1 Test Standard, *Method for Measuring the Performance of Portable Household Electric Room Air Cleaners*: tobacco smoke (0.09-1 µm), dust (0.5-3 µm), and pollen (5-10 µm).

Pollutant injection was achieved by burning incense to generate particles primarily in the ‘smoke’ and ‘dust’ size ranges and shaking a vacuum cleaner bag filled with vacuumed dust to generate particles primarily in the ‘pollen’ size range (Stephens and Siegel, 2012). Burning incense also generates numerous gaseous pollutants (e.g., carbonyls, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, and VOCs (Lee and Wang, 2004)) that may be used to estimate CADR for the measured gas-phase pollutants. Ozone was also detected as a product of incense burning, likely due to reactions between NO\textsubscript{x} and VOCs (Hsu et al., 2019). Therefore, gas-phase CADR measurements herein also included TVOC and O\textsubscript{3} when possible (NO\textsubscript{x} did not regularly achieve high enough peaks and decays to solve for loss rates). Only particulate matter data are shown here.

Testing was first conducted with the air cleaner turned on immediately after pollutant injection completed. This allowed for estimating the decay rate of pollutants with the air cleaner turned on, which includes losses due to the ‘natural’ (i.e., background) decay due to deposition to surfaces, ventilation/infiltration, etc., *plus* the effect of the air cleaner operating. After pollutant concentrations \((C_t)\) mixed and then decayed from the initial mixed peak \((C_0)\) towards background levels in the chamber \((C_{bg})\), the air cleaner was turned off to reach a new chamber background \((C_{bg})\), and then pollutant injection was repeated and pollutant concentrations were allowed to decay with the air cleaner turned off to characterize only the ‘natural’ (i.e., background) decay rate.

A linear regression is used to estimate pollutant loss rates \((K)\) under air cleaner on \((K_{ac})\) and off \((K_{nat})\) conditions:

\[-\ln\frac{C_{in,t} - C_{bg}}{C_{in,t=0} - C_{bg}} = K \times t\]

The CADR is calculated as the difference between the two loss rates multiplied by the interior chamber volume:

\[\text{CADR} = V \times (K_{ac} - K_{nat})\]

Where: \(V\) = volume of the test chamber (ft\textsuperscript{3})

\(K_{ac}\) = total decay rate with air cleaner on (1/min)

\(K_{nat}\) = natural decay rate with air cleaner off (1/min)

\(t\) = time from the beginning of the decay period (min)

**Equipment Used**

1. Controlled test chamber
2. TSI NanoScan SMPS 3910 for ultrafine particle number concentrations
3. TSI OPS 3330 and MetOne GT-256S OPC for 0.3-10 µm particle number concentrations
4. TSI DustTrak for PM\textsubscript{1}, PM\textsubscript{2.5}, PM\textsubscript{4}, and PM\textsubscript{10} estimated mass concentrations
5. Aeroqual Portable Handheld Air Quality Monitor for TVOC concentrations
6. 2B Technologies Models 211 and 405 for ozone and NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations, respectively
7. Extech SD800 CO\textsubscript{2} monitors to assess air change rates
Photos of the Chamber and Instrumentation

The box fan filter combination included a box fan (Lasko 20 inch Air Circulating Box Fan with 3 Speeds) and five air filters from Tex-Air Filters. Two of the filters (left and right sides) were 16-inch by 20-inch by 1-inch depth MERV 11 filters and three of the filters (top, bottom, and back) were 20-inch by 20-inch by 2-inch depth MERV 10 filters. The filters were assembled to form five sides of a box and taped to the inlet/suction side of the box fan. A cardboard ‘fan shroud’ was cut to fit the fan outlet. The device was tested once at the highest fan speed.

Figure 1. Inside chamber set up for the air cleaner CADR tests

Example Test Data

An example of resulting time-series test data is shown below for one example air cleaner for particles in the ‘smoke’ size range:

Figure 2. Example time-series test data from pollutant injection and decay
Particle Loss Rate Estimates

Resulting estimates of particle loss rate estimates during air cleaner on and off conditions for particles in each of the four size ranges are shown below.

Summary of Results

Table 1 shows results from CADR tests for the entire SMPS (0.01-0.4 µm), OPS (0.3-10 µm), smoke (0.09-1 µm), and dust (0.5-3 µm) size ranges. The box fan with MERV 10/11 filters was operated at its highest fan speed setting. The estimated CADR for smoke and dust size ranges was 132 and 185 cfm, respectively.

Table 1. CADR test results for four particle size ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>( K_{ac} ) (1/min)</th>
<th>( K_{nat} ) (1/min)</th>
<th>CADR (cfm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total SMPS (0.01-0.4 µm)</td>
<td>0.1311</td>
<td>0.0474</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total OPS (0.3-10 µm)</td>
<td>0.1981</td>
<td>0.0376</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoke (0.09-1 µm)</td>
<td>0.1428</td>
<td>0.0410</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust (0.5-3 µm)</td>
<td>0.1797</td>
<td>0.0369</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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